## United States District Court NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 24-577-01 RTL
Plaintiff, ) v. )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Cristel Cruz-Banegas	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Trial Act from $\frac{4}{7}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{25}$ to $\frac{5}{11}$ $\frac{1}{25}$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the public a 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and base	nd the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expec	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of osecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would d taking into account the exercise of due	leny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would u counsel's other scheduled case commi See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	inreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given tments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	inreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the court paragraph and — based on the parties' the time limits for a preliminary hearir	I taking into account the public interest in the prompt t sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ang under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
DATED: 4/7/2025	Lisa J. Cisneros
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
	MISA CRISESTO